

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/615,283 | 07/08/2003 | Mark E. Ragsdale | 5649 | 5649 8216 | |
| 75 | 01/11/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| Milliken & Company P. O. Box 1927 | | | COONEY, JOHN M | | |
| Spartanburg, S | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 1 0, | | | 1711 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/11/200 | DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | | | m | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| • | 10/615,283 | RAGSDALE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | John m Cooney | 1711 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | S | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a relition. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT of statute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this commun NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or | 1 | | , | | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · <u> </u> | | ers prosecution as to the mer | rits is | | | |
| | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| · | ndoi Expano quayio, 1000 o.b. | 11, 100 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the appli | cation. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-16 is/are with | ndrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction | and/or election requirement. | • | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | ☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b | y the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the | correction is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1. | 121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by | the Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-15 | 52. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo | oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. & | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | granger process, amount on a cross of | ' | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority doct | uments have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority doct | | oplication No. | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of th | · | · | e | | | |
| application from the International E | • | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for | a list of the certified copies not r | eceived. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Su | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 1 | | | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1003</u>. | SB/08) 5) Notice of III | | | | | |

Claims 3-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9-24-04.

Applicant's election without traverse of I. in the reply filed on 9-24-04 is acknowledged.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The compounds related to and the specific examples identified in the disclosure at pages 7 and 8 do not correspond. It appears the formulas of the preferred members should be switched (i.e. the preferred secondary phenol amines and hindered phenols appear to be misplaced and should be switched).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a small class of lactone-based antioxidant compounds, does not reasonably provide enablement for the full scope of compounds which are derived from lactone. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. There is a broad range of compounds based on lactone which have not been contemplated by applicants' disclosure.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants' supporting disclosure fails to provide adequate description of the intended BHT derived compounds so as to enable the ordinary practitioner to practice the invention as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Art Unit: 1711

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Li et al.(6,679,754).

Li et al. disclose additive formulations which read on the combinations of additive materials recited by applicants claims (see the entire document, particularly materials (I) 2.1 (II) 2.4, 2.6, 2.7 (III) 2.6-2.7 and (IV) 1.9, corresponding to applicants' identified classes of materials). The number of species does not derogate from the teachings anticipation of applicants' claims, and applicants' statement of intended use does not distinguish applicants' claims in a patentable way.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JE PRIMARY EXAMINE